

ANNOUNCEMENT OF FEDERAL FUNDING OPPORTUNITY  
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Federal Agency Name(s): National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Department of Commerce

Funding Opportunity Title: FY11 Species Recovery Grants to Tribes

Announcement Type: Initial

Funding Opportunity Number: NOAA-NMFS-PRPO-2011-2002882

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number: 11.472, Unallied Science Program

Dates: Applications must be postmarked, provided to a delivery service, or received by [www.grants.gov](http://www.grants.gov) by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Standard Time on March 7, 2011.

Please note: Validation or rejection of your application by Grants.gov may take up to 2 business days after submission. Please consider this process in developing your submission timeline. Applications received after the deadline will be rejected/returned to the sender without further consideration. Use of U.S. mail or another delivery service must be documented with a receipt.

No facsimile or electronic mail applications will be accepted.

Funding Opportunity Description: The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) recognizes the unique importance of many protected species to tribes and values ongoing efforts by tribal nations to conserve and protect species under NMFS jurisdiction. NMFS is authorized to provide Federal assistance to tribes to support conservation programs for marine and anadromous species under its jurisdiction. This assistance, provided in the form of grants, can be used to support conservation of endangered, threatened, and candidate or proposed species, as well as post-delisting monitoring of recovered species. Funded activities may include development and implementation of management plans, scientific research, and public education and outreach; proposals should address priority actions identified in an Endangered Species Act (ESA) Recovery Plan or address a NMFS-identified regional priority or need. Only federally recognized tribes are eligible to apply. Proposals focusing on listed Pacific salmon or steelhead will not be considered for funding under this grant program; such projects may be supported through the Pacific Coastal Salmon Recovery Fund. In addition to this opportunity, the NOAA Restoration Center may provide additional funding for habitat restoration projects selected through the Species Recovery Grants to Tribes program. This document describes how to prepare and submit proposals for funding in fiscal year (FY) 2011 and how NMFS will determine which proposals will be funded; this document should be read in its entirety.

## FULL ANNOUNCEMENT TEXT

### I. Funding Opportunity Description

#### A. Program Objective

The principal objective of the Species Recovery Grants to Tribes Program is to support recovery efforts that directly benefit threatened or endangered species, recently de-listed species, or candidate species. Recovery efforts may involve management, research, monitoring, and outreach activities or any combination thereof.

Successful applications will be those that demonstrate a direct conservation benefit to the species or its habitat. Proposals involving management activities should demonstrate a high probability of contributing to recovery of the species, especially through mitigation of existing threats or factors inhibiting recovery of the species. Proposals involving scientific research should demonstrate a high probability of providing information that can be used to recover, manage, or improve current management strategies for a given species. Proposals involving public education and outreach projects should demonstrate a high probability of improving or increasing public understanding and participation in conservation activities. All projects should address priority actions identified in an ESA Recovery Plan or address a NMFS-identified regional priority or need if applicable. Priority will be given to those projects that are designed to have a direct impact on species recovery through implementation of management actions (e.g. habitat restoration activities or mitigation of existing threats to the species). Such proposals will receive higher priority than those projects that involve research or monitoring activities only or merely responding to existing threats. However, research proposals that are designed to fill critical data gaps and directly contribute to management and recovery of eligible species will still be competitive under this solicitation. Projects that include measures/indicators by which performance or success of the project will be evaluated will receive higher priority.

Projects focusing on listed Pacific salmon or steelhead will not be considered under this grant program; tribal conservation efforts for these species may be supported through the Pacific Coastal Salmon Recovery Fund.

#### B. Program Priorities

Under this solicitation, federally recognized tribes may apply for grants to support recovery efforts for ESA-listed species (except Pacific salmon and steelhead), candidate species, or recently recovered species under the jurisdiction of the NMFS or under the joint jurisdiction of NMFS and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (e.g. Atlantic salmon). See the list of eligible species at <http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/species> under listed species, candidate and delisted species, and proposed species. Species under the sole jurisdiction of

the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (e.g. bull trout, sea otter) are not eligible for funding through this program. Proposals may include management, scientific research, or education and outreach activities, or a combination thereof. Proposals concerning listed species for which the NMFS has released a Recovery Plan should discuss how the project would address one or more of the high priority recovery objectives or actions identified in that plan. Recovery Plans are available at <http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/recovery/plans.htm> . Projects that include measures by which performance or success of the project will be evaluated will receive higher priority.

Proposals including management activities should demonstrate a high probability of contributing to recovery of the species, especially through mitigation of existing threats or factors inhibiting recovery of the species. Such projects could, for example, involve habitat restoration or protection efforts, analysis of stranding or bycatch data, or development and implementation of bycatch reduction measures. For example, proposals addressing habitat restoration can involve the engineering and design of a restoration project, actual implementation of a restoration activity, and/or science-based monitoring of a previously funded or simultaneously proposed NOAA habitat restoration project that will yield information on population benefits accruing to listed species resulting from the restoration action.

Proposals including scientific research should demonstrate a high probability of filling existing data gaps or providing information that can be used to recover, manage, or improve current management strategies for a given species. Research activities could, for example, focus on defining and characterizing threats to the species, improving bycatch estimates, identifying and assessing important habitats (e.g. foraging habitats, spawning areas), or evaluating methods to reduce or mitigate threats to the species. Research that does not directly contribute to recovery and management of ESA-listed species, candidate species, or recently recovered species will be considered a low priority.

All proposals should address the dissemination of results and/or information resulting from completion of the proposed activities. Proposals including specific public education and outreach projects should demonstrate a high probability for improving or increasing public understanding of and participation in conservation activities. Outreach projects could, for example, involve developing outreach tools (e.g. reporting hotlines, websites, brochures), convening regional coordination workshops or meetings, or working with local fishers or boaters to address a particular issue (e.g. bycatch, boat strikes, derelict gear).

Proposals may address new or ongoing work. If the proposal includes a continuation of previous or ongoing work, a brief discussion of accomplishments to date and a justification for the continuation of the work must be included in the project description in order to receive full consideration.

Applicants interested in further discussion of program priorities may contact program coordinators listed in Section VII., Agency Contacts.

### C. Program Authority

16 U.S.C. 661 et seq.

## II. Award Information

### A. Funding Availability

NOAA anticipates that a minimum of \$250K and a maximum of \$1.25 million may be available for distribution under this program in FY 2011 for new awards. There is no set minimum or maximum amount, within the available funding, for any award. The exact amount of funds that may be awarded will be determined during pre-award negotiations between the applicant and NOAA representatives. Publication of this notice does not oblige NOAA to award any specific grant proposal or to obligate any available funds. In addition to this opportunity, the President's FY2011 Budget requested funding specifically to support larger scale habitat restoration to support recovery of threatened and endangered species through habitat conservation actions. If these funds are made available by Congress, the NOAA Restoration Center within NMFS Office of Habitat Conservation may provide funding for applications selected for funding through this competition, thereby increasing the amount of funds available through this program.

There is no limit on the number of applications that can be submitted by the same Principal Investigator or tribe. Multiple applications submitted by the same applicant must, however, clearly identify distinct projects.

If an application for a financial assistance award is selected for funding, NOAA has no obligation to provide any additional funding in connection with that award in subsequent years. Notwithstanding verbal or written assurance that may have been received, pre-award costs are not allowed under the award unless approved by the Grants Officer in accordance with 2 CFR Part 225.

### B. Project/Award Period

Project periods may extend up to a maximum of 3 years. For multi-year proposals, applicants must include project plans and budgets for each year of the project. Multi-year projects will be funded in one-year increments but will not compete for funding in subsequent budget periods within the approved award period; funding for subsequent years will be at the sole discretion of the Department of Commerce, and will depend on

satisfactory performance by the recipient, the availability of funds to support the continuation of the project, and Agency priorities. If an applicant wishes to continue work on a funded project beyond the project period and obligated award funds have not been expended by the end of this period, the applicant can notify the assigned Federal Program Officer no later than 30 days prior to the end of the award period to determine eligibility for a no-cost extension. If, however, the money is expended and funds are needed to continue the project, the applicant should submit another proposal during the next competitive award cycle (e.g. FY 2012) or seek an alternate source of funding.

### C. Type of Funding Instrument

Under this solicitation, NOAA will fund grants or cooperative agreements. Funding will be provided in 12-month increments, with a maximum project period of 3 years.

## III. Eligibility Information

### A. Eligible Applicants

Eligible applicants are federally recognized tribes defined under the Federally Recognized Indian Tribe List Act (Public Law 103-454) or presidential executive order. Funding can only support work on federally listed species (except Pacific salmon and steelhead), recently de-listed species, and any species that has become a candidate or a proposed species by the grant application deadline. Eligible species are those under NMFS or joint NMFS-U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service jurisdiction. Current lists of candidate, proposed, and de-listed species are available at <http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/species/esa/other.htm> ; and a current list of threatened and endangered species is available at [http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/pdfs/species/esa\\_table.pdf](http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/pdfs/species/esa_table.pdf) . Applicants not meeting these eligibility criteria will not be considered for funding under this solicitation.

Federal agencies or institutions are not eligible to receive Federal assistance under this notice. In addition, NOAA and NMFS employees shall not provide assistance in writing applications, write letters of support for any application, or otherwise confer any unfair advantage on a particular application. However, for activities involving collaboration with current NMFS programs, NMFS employees can write a letter verifying that they are collaborating with the project.

### B. Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement

There are no cost sharing or match requirements under this solicitation.

### C. Other Criteria that Affect Eligibility

## IV. Application and Submission Information

### A. Address to Request Application Package

The federal funding announcement and application instructions for this grant program are available via the Grants.gov website at <http://www.grants.gov>. Applicants without internet access can obtain application instructions from Sean Ledwin, NOAA/NMFS/Office of Protected Resources, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring MD 20910, (phone) 301-713-1401, (email) [Sean.Ledwin@noaa.gov](mailto:Sean.Ledwin@noaa.gov).

### B. Content and Form of Application

Proposals must adhere to the following provisions and requirements by the proposal submission deadline. Applicants are encouraged to submit proposals early in the event that we are able to pre-screen applications for any deficiencies, contact applicants, and obtain revised applications by the solicitation deadline.

The following forms are required as part of each application: Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424); Budget Information, Non-Construction Programs (SF-424A); Assurances, Non-Construction Programs (SF-424B); and Certifications Regarding Lobbying (CD-511). These forms are available on Grants.gov. For applicants submitting proposals by mail, the SF 424 must be signed in ink (preferably blue ink).

Proposals and all attachments must be formatted for letter-sized paper (8.5 inch x 11 inch). Text should be no smaller than 10-point font, and all pages must be numbered. The Project Description should not exceed 15 pages. Within the Project Description, tables and visual materials, including charts, graphs, maps, photographs, and other pictorial presentations are not included in the 15-page limitation. Abbreviated curricula vitae (CVs) or resumes should be appended to each application. Appended material must not exceed a total of 10-pages in length and can include letters of endorsement, an indirect cost rate agreement, permit information, related grant progress reports, or any other supporting information.

To be considered, proposals must include the following information:

#### 1. Title Page

Provide the project title, project duration (with a start date of September 1, 2011 or later unless applicants request and get approval for an earlier start date from the Program Officer), applicant name(s), name(s) of the Principal Investigator (or Project Manager) and any Co-Investigators (or Co-Managers), complete contact information (address, telephone, fax, email, and phone number) for the applicant(s) and all Co-investigators (or

Co-Managers), and a statement regarding the Federal, non-Federal, and total costs of the project. Contact information should include phone numbers and email addresses.

## 2. Project Summary (1-page limit)

Provide a brief summary discussing the project goals and objectives, the proposed activities, the geographic area where the activities would occur, the recovery objectives or actions as specified in a NMFS Recovery Plan (if applicable) or the NMFS-identified regional priorities or needs, and expected outcomes and benefits of the proposed activities. This summary may be posted on our website if the project is funded. If the proposal focuses on implementing recovery actions identified in a NMFS Recovery Plan, indicate the priority number or level assigned to the particular actions.

## 3. Project Description (15-page limit)

Describe the project activities completely and accurately. The Project Description should be a clear statement of the work to be undertaken and must include the information listed below in (a)-(g). Multi-year applications must include such information for each year of the proposed activity. (a) Project goals and objectives: Identify the specific problem(s) or opportunities the project intends to address and describe its significance to the recovery of the species. Identify the project objectives, which should be simple and understandable, as specific and quantitative as possible, and attainable within the time, money and human resources available. (b) Project narrative: Describe the methodologies or technical plan for activities that are to be conducted during each budget period of the project. Include detailed descriptions of the specific plan and/or methods that will be employed. Project milestones and the timelines (e.g. the number of months) necessary to meet them should also be discussed. (c) Benefits or results expected: Identify the outcomes or results and the benefits to the species that should result from the successfully completed project. Discuss how the projects success in meeting the stated goals and objectives will be measured or assessed. Describe how these expected outcomes, results, or products will be applied and/or made available to any appropriate user groups. (d) Need for Government financial assistance: Demonstrate the need for government financial assistance. List all other sources of funding that are or have been sought for the project. (e) Federal, State, tribal and local government activities: List any plans or activities (Federal, State, tribal or local) this project would affect and, if applicable, identify the relationship between the proposed work and other ongoing work, or work planned, anticipated, or underway through Federal Assistance or other means. If the proposal is a continuation of previous or ongoing work, a brief discussion of results or accomplishments to date should be included; progress or final reports for previous awards may be included in the appendix. (f) Environmental Impacts: Discuss any potential negative impacts on listed species or their critical habitat as a result of the proposed activities. If applicable, discuss ways in which any such negative impacts will be minimized or mitigated. (g) Project management: Describe how the project will be

organized and managed and who will be responsible for carrying out project activities. The lead organization/individual and person listed as the technical contact should be identified as the Project Manager (PM) or Principal Investigator (PI). Use of sub-contractors or volunteer staff time to complete project activities and oversight of those individuals should be discussed. The PM/PI is responsible for all technical oversight and implementation of the approved work plan as delineated in the proposal. One PM or PI must be designated on each project, but the PM/PI may or may not be the applicant. However, if the applicant is not the PM/PI, there must be an explanation of the relationship between the applicant and PM/PI (e.g. applicant will be responsible for managing the grant funds and the PM will be responsible for completing the project milestones on time and within budget, etc.). Project participants or organizations that will have a significant role in conducting the project should be listed as Co-investigators or Co-Managers. Organizations or individuals that support the project, for example, researchers contributing data or materials, should be referred to as Cooperators. Copies of the PMs or PIs and all Co-investigators/Co-Managers current resumes or curricula vitae must be included as appendices.

#### 4. Total project costs and budget justification

Each application must include clear and concise budget information, both on the required Federal forms and in the narrative detail of this section. Multi-year applications must include budget information for each year of the proposed activity.

Applications must include OMB standard form 424A, Budget Information Non-construction Programs. All instructions should be read before completing the form, and the amounts per category and total amounts indicated on the form should correspond with amounts indicated elsewhere in the proposal. Itemized costs per category (salary, supplies, equipment, travel, etc.) and the corresponding Federal and non-Federal share and direct and indirect cost totals should be listed on a separate sheet or spreadsheet. Multi-year projects must include separate, itemized budgets for each year of the project. A budget justification in narrative form must also be provided to describe and justify the costs indicated in the requested budget. This narrative must clearly correspond to the information provided in the spreadsheet. Details provided in the spreadsheet and/or the narrative must also be sufficient so that reviewers can interpret how costs were estimated or calculated, especially for items over \$5,000. If any non-Federal share is included, the itemized costs should be separated into cash and in-kind contributions. If in-kind contributions are included, describe briefly the basis for estimating the value of these contributions.

#### 5. Appendices (10-page limit)

Abbreviated CVs or resumes of the PM/PI and any Co-Managers/ Co-Investigators should be included with the application. Applicants requiring ESA scientific research permits must also include evidence of such a permit (e.g. by providing the permit number) or

evidence they have submitted an ESA permit application. Additional material that is necessary or useful to the description of the project may also be provided (e.g. letters of endorsement, tables or visual materials).

#### C. Submission Dates and Times

Applications must be postmarked, provided to a delivery service, or received by [www.grants.gov](http://www.grants.gov) by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Standard Time on March 7, 2011.

Please note: Validation or rejection of your application by Grants.gov may take up to 2 business days after submission. Please consider this process in developing your submission timeline. Applications received after the deadline will be rejected/returned to the sender without further consideration. Use of U.S. mail or another delivery service must be documented with a receipt.

No facsimile or electronic mail applications will be accepted.

#### D. Intergovernmental Review

Applications under this program are not subject to Executive Order 12372, Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs.

#### E. Funding Restrictions

##### 1. Indirect Cost Rates.

The budget may include an amount for indirect costs if the applicant has an established indirect cost rate with the Federal government. Indirect costs are essentially overhead costs for basic operational functions (e.g., utilities, rent, insurance) that are incurred for common or joint objectives and, therefore, cannot be identified specifically within a particular project. Applicants may use indirect costs or a portion of these costs as part of the non-federal cost share. A copy of the current, approved negotiated indirect cost agreement with the federal government must be included in the application. If the applicant does not have a current negotiated rate and plans to seek reimbursement for indirect costs, documentation necessary to establish a rate must be submitted within 90 days of receiving an award.

##### 2. Allowable Costs.

Funds awarded cannot necessarily pay for all the costs that the recipient might incur in the course of carrying out the project. Allowable costs are determined by reference to the Office of Management and Budget Circular A-87, "Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments." A project begins on the effective date of an award agreement between the recipient and the Grants Officer and ends on the date specified in the award. Accordingly, applicants cannot be reimbursed for time expended or costs incurred in

developing a project or preparing the application; such expenditures also cannot be accepted as part of the cost share.

#### F. Other Submission Requirements

It is the applicants responsibility to obtain all necessary Federal, State, tribal and local government permits and approvals where applicable for the proposed work to be conducted. If applicable, documentation of requests or approvals of permits must be included in the proposal package.

Projects involving directed or incidental take of threatened or endangered species generally require permits under section 10 of the ESA (50 CFR 222.307 and 222.308). If an ESA permit covering the proposed activities has already been issued, the permit number must be provided in the application. Issuance of the necessary ESA permit(s) or evidence that the applicant has submitted a permit application is required in order for a proposal to be considered for funding.

For further information on permit requirements and application procedures for federal natural resource permits, contact the NMFS Office of Protected Resources Permits Division (301-713-2289) or see <http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/permits/> .

If proposed activities will take place within National Marine Sanctuaries, National Parks, National Seashores, and other federally designated protected areas, the applicant is responsible for requesting and obtaining any necessary permits or letters of agreement from the appropriate government agencies prior to award.

Applicants are expected to design their proposals so that they minimize potential adverse impacts on the environment. Applications will be reviewed to ensure that they have sufficient environmental documentation to allow program staff to determine whether the proposal is categorically excluded from further analysis or whether additional analysis is necessary in conformance with requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). For those applications needing further analysis, affected applicants will be informed after the merit review stage and asked to assist by providing any information necessary to complete a draft Environmental Assessment or Impact Statement. Failure to obtain other Federal, State, tribal and local permits, approvals, letters of agreement, or failure to provide information necessary to complete environmental analyses where necessary (i.e., NEPA environmental assessments or documentation) may delay the award of funds if a project is otherwise selected for funding.

Applications should be submitted electronically through the Grants.gov website at

<http://www.grants.gov> . NOAA strongly recommends that applicants do not wait until the application deadline to begin the application process through Grants.gov. To use Grants.gov, applicants must have a DUNS number and register in the Central Contractor Registry (CCR). Applicants should allow at least 5 business days to complete the CCR registration; registration is only required once. Also, it may take Grants.gov up to two business days to validate or reject an application. Please keep this in mind when developing your submission timeline. Following submission of applications through Grants.gov, applicants should receive two automated responses from Grants.gov: one confirms receipt of the application; the other confirms that the application has been forwarded to NOAA. If both confirmation messages from Grants.gov are not received, applicants should contact both the Grants.gov Helpdesk and the NMFS Office of Protected Resources to confirm the application has been transmitted and received by NOAA.

For applicants lacking internet access, hard copies may be submitted (by postal mail or commercial delivery) to the NMFS Office of Protected Resources, Attn: Sean Ledwin, 1315 East-West Highway, SSMC3, Silver Spring, MD 20910. Applications submitted by U.S. Postal Service must have an official postmark; private metered postmarks are not acceptable. Use of a delivery service other than U.S. mail must be documented with a receipt. Paper applications should be printed on one side only, on 8.5 inch x 11 inch paper, and not be bound in any manner. A signed (in ink) SF 424 must be included. No facsimile or electronic mail applications will be accepted.

## V. Application Review Information

### A. Evaluation Criteria

The proposals will be evaluated based on the following criteria (with their relative weights):

#### 1. Importance/Relevance and Applicability of the Proposal to the Program Goals (35%).

This criterion addresses whether there is intrinsic value in the proposed work and/or relevance to NOAA, Federal, regional, State, tribal or local activities. Applications will be evaluated on clear identification of project goals and objectives and applicability of those goals and objectives to conservation and recovery goals for threatened or endangered species, de-listed species, or candidate species as specified in a NMFS recovery plan (if applicable) or a NMFS-identified regional priority or need. Reviewers will consider whether the project meets the program goals and priorities as stated in sections I.A. and I.B. of this document.

Reviewers will also score the proposal based on their consideration of the contribution

of potential outcomes, results, or products to species conservation and management goals; whether milestones and products are clearly identified; and whether performance measures for evaluating effectiveness of the completed project were clearly identified. (Score: 1-10 points; where, for example, 1= no importance/relevance to the program goals or objectives, very limited potential to contribute to species recovery; 5= moderately important/ relevant, and likely to make a moderate contribution to species recovery; 10= extremely important/relevant, and likely to make a significant contribution to species recovery.)

## 2. Technical/ Scientific Merit (30%).

For proposals addressing management and outreach, this criterion addresses whether the approach is appropriate for achieving the stated goals and objectives, whether the approach will result in successful execution of the project, and if the anticipated results can be achieved in the time line specified. Reviewers will consider whether sufficient information is provided to evaluate the design of the project relative to the stated goals/objectives.

For proposals addressing research and monitoring, this criterion addresses whether the approach is technically sound, if the methods are appropriate for achieving the stated goals/objectives, and if the anticipated results can be achieved in the time line specified. Reviewers will consider the sufficiency of information to evaluate the project technically, and if such information is sufficient, the strengths and/or weaknesses of the technical design relative to securing productive results, and if data collection is proposed, the inclusion of quality assurance considerations.

For all applications, reviewers will consider whether the proposal includes an effective mechanism for evaluating the projects success in meeting the stated goals and objectives. Reviewers will also evaluate whether application instructions contained in this document were followed and if all required elements were submitted. (Score: 1-10 points; where, for example, 1= completely unsound and/ or unlikely to meet the stated objectives, application instructions were not followed, and no performance measures included; 5= intermediately sound, and/ or reasonably likely to meet the stated objectives, application instructions generally followed; 10= extremely sound and highly likely to meet the stated objectives, application instructions followed thoroughly.)

## 3. Overall Qualification of Applications (10%).

This criterion addresses whether the applicant possesses the necessary expertise, experience, facilities, and administrative resources to accomplish the project. Reviewers will consider previous related experience and qualifications of the project's PM or PI, Co-Manager(s) or Co-Investigator(s) and other personnel, including designated contractors, consultants, and cooperators. Reviewers will also consider past award performance of the applicant(s) and PM/PI. (Score: 1-10 points; where, for example, 1= completely unqualified

and/or lacking the resources or capacity to accomplish the project, 5= intermediate level of qualifications or experience and/or adequate resources and capacity to accomplish the project, 10= extremely qualified/ experienced, has all necessary resources and demonstrated capacity to accomplish the project.)

#### 4. Project Costs (15%).

This criterion evaluates the budget to determine if it is sufficiently detailed, realistic and commensurate with the project needs and time-frame. The itemized costs and the overall budget must be justified and allocated appropriately. (Score: 1-10 points; where, for example, 1= unrealistic and lacking sufficient detail; 5= adequately detailed and realistic; 10= extremely detailed and realistic.)

#### 5. Outreach and Education (10%).

This criterion assesses whether the project provides a focused and effective education and outreach strategy regarding NOAAs mission to protect the United States natural resources. Reviewers will consider whether project results or products will be shared appropriately and whether sufficient detail is provided to make this determination. Depending on the nature of the project, outreach and education may include, for example, peer reviewed publications, presentations at professional meetings, development of brochures, internal agency or tribal meetings, or public meetings. (Score: 1-10 points; where 1= insufficient/ineffective outreach, 5= adequate outreach, 10= extremely effective outreach).

### B. Review and Selection Process

Screening, review, and selection procedures will take place in 3 steps: initial evaluation, merit review, and final selection by the Selecting Official (i.e., the Assistant Administrator for NMFS). Initial screening and evaluation of applications will be conducted to ensure that application packages have all required forms and application elements and meet all of the eligibility criteria.

Applications meeting the requirements of this solicitation will then undergo merit review. Each application will be reviewed by a minimum of three reviewers, who will independently evaluate and score proposals using the evaluation criteria provided in section V.A. (Evaluation Criteria) above. Merit reviewers will be individuals with appropriate subject-matter expertise and may be from federal or state agencies, academic institutions, or non-profit organizations. The reviewers ratings will be used to produce a rank order of the proposals.

After applications have undergone merit review, the Selecting Official will make the final decision regarding which applications will be funded based upon the numerical

rankings and evaluations of the applications by the merit reviewers as well as the selection factors set forth in section V.C. (Selection Criteria) below.

### C. Selection Factors

The merit review ratings shall provide a rank order to the Selecting Official for final recommendation to the NOAA Grants Officer. The Selecting Official shall award in the rank order of the review ratings unless the proposal is justified to be selected out of rank order based upon the following factors, where applicable:

- a. Availability of funding
- b. Balance/distribution of funds
  - i. Geographically
  - ii. By type of institutions
  - iii. By type of partners
  - iv. By research areas
  - v. By project types
  - vi. By species or species groups
- c. Whether this project duplicates other projects funded or considered for funding by NOAA or other federal agencies
- d. Program priorities and policy factors as set out in Sections I.A. (Program Objectives) and B (Program Priorities) and Section II. A. (Funding Availability)
- e. Applicants prior award performance
- f. Partnerships with and/or participation of targeted groups
- g. Adequacy of information necessary for NOAA staff to make a NEPA determination and draft necessary documentation before recommendations for funding are made to the Grants Officer

### D. Anticipated Announcement and Award Dates

Subject to the availability of funds, review of proposals will occur during the spring of 2011, and funding is expected to begin during fall of 2011 for most approved projects. Project start dates may be no earlier than September 1, 2011 unless otherwise approved by the Program Officer.

## VI. Award Administration Information

### A. Award Notices

Successful applicants will receive notification that the application has been recommended for funding to the NOAA Grants Management Division. This notification is not an authorization to begin performance of the project. Official notification of funding, signed by a NOAA Grants Officer, is the authorizing document that allows the project to begin. Notifications will be issued to the Authorizing Official and the Project Manager/Principal Investigator of the project either electronically or in hard copy. Unsuccessful applicants will be notified that their proposal was not selected for recommendation. Unsuccessful applications will be kept on file in the Program Office for a period of at least 12 months, and then destroyed.

To enable the use of a universal identifier and to enhance the quality of information available to the public as required by the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006, to the extent applicable, any proposal awarded in response to this announcement will be required to use the Central Contractor Registration and Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System and be subject to reporting requirements, as identified in OMB guidance published at 2 CFR Parts 25, 170 (2010), [http://ecfr.gpoaccess.gov/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=ecfr&tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title02/2cfr25\\_main\\_02.tpl](http://ecfr.gpoaccess.gov/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=ecfr&tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title02/2cfr25_main_02.tpl) , [http://ecfr.gpoaccess.gov/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=ecfr&tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title02/2cfr170\\_main\\_02.tpl](http://ecfr.gpoaccess.gov/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=ecfr&tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title02/2cfr170_main_02.tpl) .

### B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

#### 1. Department of Commerce Pre-Award Notification Requirements.

Administrative and national policy requirements for all Department of Commerce awards are contained in the Department of Commerce Pre-Award Notification Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements published in the Federal Register on February 11, 2008 (73 FR 7696). You may obtain a copy of this notice by contacting the agency contact(s) under section VII., or by going to the website at <http://www.gpoaccess.gov/fr/index.html> . Applicants whose proposed projects may have an environmental impact must furnish information requested to assist proposal reviewers in assessing the potential environmental consequences of supporting the project.

#### 2. Limitation of Liability.

Funding for programs listed in this notice is contingent upon the availability of Fiscal Year 2011 appropriations. In no event will NOAA or the Department of Commerce be responsible for proposal preparation costs if these programs fail to receive funding or are

cancelled because of other agency priorities. Publication of this announcement does not oblige NOAA to award any specific project or to obligate any available funds.

### 3. Universal Identifier.

Applicants should be aware that they are required to provide a Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number during the application process. See the October 30, 2002 Federal Register, Vol. 67, No. 210, pp. 66177-66178 for additional information. Organizations can receive a DUNS number at no cost by calling the dedicated toll-free DUNS Number request line at 1-866-705-5711 or via the internet (<http://www.dunandbradstreet.com> ).

### 4. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

NOAA must analyze the potential environmental impacts, as required by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), for applicant projects or proposals that are seeking NOAA federal funding opportunities. Detailed information on NOAA compliance with NEPA can be found at the following NOAA NEPA website: <http://www.nepa.noaa.gov/>, including our NOAA Administrative Order 216-6 for NEPA, [http://www.nepa.noaa.gov/NAO216\\_6.pdf](http://www.nepa.noaa.gov/NAO216_6.pdf) , and the Council on Environmental Quality implementation regulations, [http://ceq.hss.doe.gov/nepa/regs/ceq/toc\\_ceq.htm](http://ceq.hss.doe.gov/nepa/regs/ceq/toc_ceq.htm) . Consequently, as part of an applicant's package, and under their description of their program activities, applicants are required to provide detailed information on the activities to be conducted, locations, sites, species and habitat to be affected, possible construction activities, and any environmental concerns that may exist (e.g., the use and disposal of hazardous or toxic chemicals, introduction of non-indigenous species, impacts to endangered and threatened species, aquaculture projects, and impacts to coral reef systems). In addition to providing specific information that will serve as the basis for any required impact analyses, applicants will also be required to cooperate with NOAA in identifying feasible measures to reduce or avoid any identified adverse environmental impacts of their proposed project. The failure to do so shall be grounds for not selecting an application. In some cases if additional information is required after an application is selected, funds can be withheld by the Grants Officer under a special award condition requiring the recipient to submit additional environmental compliance information sufficient to enable NOAA to make an assessment on any impacts that a project may have on the environment.

### C. Reporting

Grant recipients will be required to submit financial and performance (technical) reports. All financial reports shall be submitted to NOAA's Grants Management Division through Grants Online (<https://grantsonline.rdc.noaa.gov> ) in accordance with the award conditions. Performance reports should be submitted to the NMFS Office of Protected Resources

through Grants Online. All reports will be submitted on a semi-annual schedule and must be submitted no later than 30 days following the end of each 6-month period from the start date of the award. The comprehensive final report is due 90 days after the award expiration.

The Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 includes a requirement for awardees of applicable Federal grants to report information about first-tier subawards and executive compensation under Federal assistance awards issued in FY 2011 or later. All awardees of applicable grants and cooperative agreements are required to report to the Federal Subaward Reporting System (FSRS) available at [www.FSRS.gov](http://www.FSRS.gov) on all subawards over \$25,000.

## VII. Agency Contacts

If you have any questions regarding this proposal solicitation, please contact Sean Ledwin or Lisa Manning at the NOAA/NMFS/Office of Protected Resources, Endangered Species Division, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910, by phone at 301-713-1401, or by email (Sean.Ledwin@noaa.gov or [Lisa.Manning@noaa.gov](mailto:Lisa.Manning@noaa.gov)). You may also contact one of the following people in your region for further guidance: Jessica Pruden, Northeast Regional Office ([Jessica.Pruden@noaa.gov](mailto:Jessica.Pruden@noaa.gov), 978-282-8482); Karla Reece, Southeast Regional Office ([Karla.Reece@noaa.gov](mailto:Karla.Reece@noaa.gov), 727-824-5348); Alison Agness, Northwest Regional Office ([Alison.Agness@noaa.gov](mailto:Alison.Agness@noaa.gov), 206-526-6152); Susan Wang, Southwest Regional Office ([Susan.Wang@noaa.gov](mailto:Susan.Wang@noaa.gov), 562-980-4199); Barbara Mahoney, Alaska Regional Office (907-271-3448).

## VIII. Other Information