Table of Contents

Abstract **iv**

Introduction **1**

Materials And Methods **1**
Data 1
Observer data 1
Commercial fishing effort 2
Data preparation 2
Missing data 2
Bycatch estimates 2

Results **4**
New England sink gillnet fishery 4
mid-Atlantic gillnet fishery 5

Discussion **5**

References Cited **7**

Tables **9**

Figures **16**

DRAFT

ABSTRACT

This report provides estimated bycatch of 6 species of small cetaceans and pinnipeds bycaught in the New England sink (NESG) and mid-Atlantic (MAG) gillnet fisheries. The 2014 serious injuries and total mortalities in the NESG fishery were 128 (coefficient of variation [CV] = 0.27) harbor porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena phocoena*), 111 (CV = 0.47) short-beaked common dolphins (*Delphinus delphis delphis*), 10 (CV = 0.66) Atlantic white-sided dolphins (*Lagenorhynchus acutus*), 917 (CV = 0.14) gray seals (*Halichoerus grypus grypus*), 390 (CV = 0.39) harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina concolor*), and 57 (CV = 0.42) harp seals (*Pagophilus groenlandicus*). The NESG estimates are based on observed bycatch consisting of 28 harbor porpoises, 11 short-beaked common dolphins, 2 Atlantic white-sided dolphins, 159 gray seals, 59 harbor seals, and 9 harp seals. The 2014 serious injuries and total mortalities in the MAG fishery were 22 (CV = 1.03) harbor porpoises, 17 (CV = 0.86) short-beaked common dolphins, 19 (CV = 1.06) harbor seals, and 22 (CV = 1.09) gray seals. The MAG estimates are based on observed bycatch consisting of 1 harbor porpoise, short-beaked common dolphin, harbor seal, and gray seal.

DRAFT

INTRODUCTION

The United States (US) Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) of 1972 mandates the annual reporting of serious injury and mortality estimates for marine mammal stocks interacting with US commercial fisheries (Waring et al. 2015). An interaction that refers to the direct contact between a marine mammal and commercial fishing gear and results in a serious injury or mortality is termed a bycatch event. Bycatch has been cited as a significant threat to marine mammal populations (Read 2008), with particular concern for the impacts of drift and sink gillnet gear on small cetacean and pinniped stocks (Reeves et al. 2013).

In US Northwest Atlantic waters, fishing vessels that used drift or sink gillnet gear constituted the New England sink (NESG) or mid-Atlantic (MAG) gillnet fishery. Both fisheries operated year round, with the NESG fishery ranging from Maine to New York and the MAG fishery ranging from New York to North Carolina (NMFS 2014; Waring et al. 2015). Observed fishing hauls were assigned to the NESG or MAG fishery based on the geographic location of fishing activities, with the 72°30'W longitudinal line used to demarcate the 2 fishing fleets (NMFS 2014; Waring et al. 2015).

For 2014, 6 species of small cetaceans and pinnipeds were observed bycaught in drift and sink gillnet gear from US Northwest Atlantic waters. These include harbor porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena phocoena*), short-beaked common dolphin (*Delphinus delphis delphis*), Atlantic white-sided dolphin (*Lagenorhynchus acutus*), gray seal (*Halichoerus grypus grypus*), harbor seal (*Phoca vitulina concolor*), and harp seal (*Pagophilus groenlandicus*). The purpose of this report is to: (1) esimate bycatch for small cetaceans and pinnipeds in the 2014 NESG and MAG fisheries and (2) explore gear characteristics of observed hauls.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Five datasets were used in estimating annual bycatch of small cetaceans and pinnipeds in the NESG and MAG fisheries. These included observer data collected by the Northeast Fishery Observer Program (NEFOP) and the Northeast Fishery At-Sea Monitoring Program (ASM) as well as commercial fishing effort from vessel trip reports (VTRs), dealer weigh out slips, and the North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries (NCDMF) trip ticket program. Observer records (NEFOP and ASM) were used to estimate bycatch rates, defined as the number of animals bycaught per metric ton (mt) of landed catch, for the NESG and MAG fisheries. Estimated bycatch from the entire gillnet fleet was then obtained by applying estimated bycatch rates to commercial fishing effort, defined as the weight of commercial landings in mt.

Data

Observer data

Observer data were recorded by 2 survey programs, NEFOP and ASM. For 2014, 55% and 45% of all hauls observed were from NEFOP and ASM, respectively. Both survey programs used complete sampling protocols (or complete trips), for which observers sampled both catch and discard of fishes for biological information. During complete sampling, observers were not explicitly watching haul backs and may have missed bycatch of marine mammals that fell out of the net prior to being hauled on board. Unlike ASM, NEFOP also used limited sampling protocols (or limited trips) for which the observer explicitly watched the net during haul backs, reducing the chances

DRAFT

of unnoticed bycatch. It should also be noted that both survey programs collected environmental, gear, haul, and vessel characteristics during observed fishing trips. However, ASM only collected a subset of the data required by NEFOP and only monitored vessels with trip declarations into the Northeast multispecies groundfish fishery. For this reason, ASM data may not be representative of all gillnet fishing effort with the potential for marine mammal bycatch. Any potential bias introduced into the analysis through the use of ASM data was addressed as described in the bycatch estimates section below.

Commercial fishing effort

Vessel trip reports (VTRs) were considered to be a near census of commercial fishing trips for the NESG and MAG fisheries, except for those landing catch in North Carolina. VTR data were augmented with information from dealer weigh out slips, as self-reported landings on VTRs were assumed to be biased low (Wigley et al. 2008; Murray 2009). Where possible, VTR trips were linked directly to dealer weigh out slips. For instances where a corresponding dealer weigh out slip(s) could not be located for a VTR, the landings on the VTR were scaled by an adjustment factor derived from stratification of the VTR and dealer weigh out data by state and season. This ensured that unmatched VTR landings in any stratum were equal to the unmatched landings in the dealer weigh out data (Orphanides 2013), which is assumed to be a near census of commercial catch (Wigley et al. 2008). Commercial fishing effort within bays and sounds was removed from this analysis to reflect fishing effort in oceanic waters where cetacean and pinniped bycatch has historically occurred.

Commercial fishing effort for gillnet trips in North Carolina were poorly represented in the VTR and dealer weigh out data, requiring the use of monthly gillnet landings reported by NCDMF (Orphanides 2011). For vessels landing catch in North Carolina, data from the NCDMF trip ticket program were combined with VTRs and dealer's weigh out slips to estimate observer coverage for the MAG fishery.

Data preparation

Data preparation is described in detail below and included the conversion of landed to live weights using standardized conversion factors (Palmer 2010) as well as imputing missing fishing locations, mesh sizes, and soak durations when needed.

Missing data

In 2014, 4% of observer records were missing latitude and longitude coordinates, while about 15% of commercial fishing records were missing detailed information on geographic fishing locations. Similarly, <1% of observer records were missing values of mesh size and about 1% of observer records were missing values of soak duration, while <1% of commercial fishing records were missing information on mesh size and about 10% of commercial fishing records were missing information on soak duration. Missing values were imputed following the methods outlined in Warden and Orphanides (2008). Less than 1% of observed hauls were missing information on pinger usage (none of which had incidental bycatch of marine mammals) and were subsequently removed from the analysis. Animals that could not be identified to the species level, including 8 unknown seals and 1 unknown dolphin, were also removed from the analysis.

DRAFT

Bycatch estimates

As in previous years, bycatch rates were estimated with ratio and stratified ratio estimators, with strata defined to reflect the spatial and temporal distributions of marine mammals and commercial gillnetters (Rossman and Merrick 1999; Belden et al. 2006). For the NESG fishery, data were stratified temporally by season and spatially by portgroup or management area. Seasons were defined as "W" (winter; January - May), "S" (summer; June - August), and "F" (fall; September - December). The stratum-specific bycatch rates were then estimated using NEFOP and ASM data, and were weighted by pinger use and NEFOP-observed groundfish/nongroundfish landings. Only NEFOP-observed groundfish/nongroundfish landings were used to ensure that estimated bycatch rates were representative of the entire NESG fishery, and not biased towards the part of the fleet monitored by ASM. In other words,

$$\hat{R}_{s,m} = \left(\frac{W_{s,m,g}}{W_{s,m}}\right)\left[\left(\frac{N_{s,m,g,p}}{N_{s,m,g}}\right)\frac{y_{s,m,g,p}}{x_{s,m,g,p}} + \left(\frac{N_{s,m,g,np}}{N_{s,m,g}}\right)\frac{y_{s,m,g,np}}{x_{s,m,g,np}}\right] + \left(\frac{W_{s,m,ng}}{W_{s,m}}\right)\left[\left(\frac{N_{s,m,ng,p}}{N_{s,m,ng}}\right)\frac{y_{s,m,ng,p}}{x_{s,m,ng,p}} + \left(\frac{N_{s,m,ng,np}}{N_{s,m,ng}}\right)\frac{y_{s,m,ng,np}}{x_{s,m,ng,np}}\right] \quad (1)$$

where:

$N_{s,m,g} = N_{s,m,g,p} + N_{s,m,g,np}$

$N_{s,m,ng} = N_{s,m,ng,p} + N_{s,m,ng,np}$

$W_{s,m} = W_{s,m,g} + W_{s,m,ng}$

$s =$ season
$m =$ portgroup or management area
$g =$ groundfish and $ng =$ nongroundfish
$p =$ pingers and $np =$ no pingers
$\hat{R} =$ stratum-specific bycatch rate
$W =$ NEFOP-observed weight of landed catch (mt)
$N =$ observed number of hauls
$y =$ observed number of bycaught animals
$x =$ observed weight of landed catch (mt)

The weighted bycatch rate explicitly accounts for observed fishing effort targeting groundfish versus nongroundfish and the use of pingers on gillnet strings (Palka et al. 2008; Orphanides 2013). The Massachusetts Bay Management Area was not retained for the purposes of estimating bycatch during the 2014 fall season, to address concerns with small sample size (4 hauls), and was pooled with the South of Boston portgroup. The Cape Cod South Management Area was retained for the purposes of estimating bycatch during 2014, to address concerns with inshore/offshore differences in fishing practices.

DRAFT

For the MAG fishery, data in the Waters off New Jersey Management Area were stratified temporally by season as well as by mesh size (i.e., < 7 in or ≥ 7 in) and soak duration (i.e., ≤ 72 hours or > 72 hours) (Orphanides 2013). More formally this can be expressed as:

$$\hat{R} = \frac{y}{x} \tag{2}$$

where:

$\hat{R}$ = stratum-specific bycatch rate

y = observed, stratum-specific number of bycaught animals

x = observed, stratum-specific weight of landed catch (mt)

For a more in-depth treatment of the rationale behind the data stratification presented in this report, refer to Orphanides (2011, 2013).

Estimates of bycatch in any stratum ($\hat{B}$) were then obtained through the product of stratum-specific bycatch rates ($\hat{R}$) and the total commercial fishing effort (E) associated with that stratum. More formally this can be expressed as:

$$\hat{B} = \hat{R}E \tag{3}$$

Seasonal subtotal and total bycatch estimates were obtained through the summation of stratum-specific bycatch estimates. Uncertainty around seasonal subtotal, total, and stratum-specific bycatch estimates were calculated using nonparametric stratified bootstrapping techniques, with $(1-\alpha)$% confidence intervals constructed through the bias-corrected and accelerated (BCa) method using 10,000 iterations with the R "boot" library (Canty and Ripley 2012; Efron and Tibshirani 1993). The resampling unit used for bootstrapping was an entire fishing trip, to account for interdependence among hauls nested within trips (Bisack 2003).

For strata with high observer coverage (i.e., ≥ 10%) the finite population correction factor (fpc) was applied to the bootstrapped estimate of the standard error used in calculating the coefficient of variation (CV), where the fpc for each stratum was defined as:

$$fpc = \sqrt{\frac{W-w}{W-1}} \tag{4}$$

where:

W = stratum-specific weight of commercial landings

w = observed, stratum-specific weight of landed catch

Observer coverage was defined as the percentage of commercial landings observed by NEFOP and ASM for each stratum (i.e., $w/W \times 100$%).

RESULTS

Geographic locations of observed hauls and marine mammal bycatch can be found in Figure 1.

DRAFT

New England sink gillnet fishery

The annual observer coverage for the 2014 NESG fishery was 18% (Table 1). Stratum-specific observer coverage rates for the NESG fishery can be found in Table 1. The 2014 serious injuries and total mortalities in the NESG fishery were 128 (CV = 0.27) harbor porpoises (Table 2), 111 (CV = 0.47) short-beaked common dolphins (Table 3), 10 (CV = 0.66) Atlantic white-sided dolphins (Table 4), 917 (CV = 0.14) gray seals (Table 5), 390 (CV = 0.39) harbor seals (Table 6), and 57 (CV = 0.42) harp seals (Table 7). The NESG estimates are based on observed bycatch consisting of 28 harbor porpoises, 11 short-beaked common dolphins, 2 Atlantic white-sided dolphins, 159 gray seals, 59 harbor seals, and 9 harp seals.

In the management areas that required the use of pingers, the proportion of observed hauls with pingers was high in nearly all times and areas; ≥92% (Table 8). The lowest proportion of observed hauls with pingers was observed in the Southern New England Management Area during the fall season, where 73% of observed hauls used pingers.

mid-Atlantic gillnet fishery

The annual observer coverage for the 2014 MAG fishery was 5% (Table 9). Stratum-specific observer coverage rates for the MAG fishery can be found in Table 10. The 2014 serious injuries and total mortalities in the MAG fishery were 22 (CV = 1.03) harbor porpoises, 17 (CV = 0.86) short-beaked common dolphins, 19 (CV = 1.06) harbor seals, and 22 (CV = 1.09) gray seals (Table 11). The MAG estimates are based on observed bycatch consisting of 1 harbor porpoise, short-beaked common dolphin, harbor seal, and gray seal.

DISCUSSION

The status of small cetacean and pinniped stocks inhabiting US waters is currently determined by comparing mean annual bycatch estimates to a threshold beyond which removals from the population are deemed unsustainable. The MMPA defines this threshold as the Potential Biological Removal (PBR), which is a function of population size and growth rate, and a factor that ensures sufficient recovery (Wade 1998). The majority of small cetacean and pinniped bycatch occurring in US waters is from gillnet gear (Read et al. 2006), and comparing annual gillnet bycatch estimates to PBR may serve as a preliminary indication of bycatch severity.

For 2014, all species of small cetacean and pinniped had estimated gillnet bycatch that was under PBR. PBR for harp and gray seals cannot be estimated for US waters due to insufficient information on population sizes (Waring et al. 2015), with impacts from gillnet bycatch on those pinniped stocks currently unknown. Using point estimates, 2014 gillnet bycatch for harbor porpoise (PBR = 706), short-beaked common dolphin (PBR = 1125), Atlantic white-sided dolphin (PBR = 304), and harbor seal (PBR = 2006) were at 21%, 11%, 3%, and 20% of their PBR values, respectively. A more comprehensive approach that includes serious injury and mortality estimates from other gear types can be found in the annual US Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico Marine Mammal Stock Assessment reports (Waring et al. 2015).

Assessing the status of marine mammal stocks is fraught with uncertainty (Williams et al. 2008), which is usually compounded by inadequate funds to achieve necessary observer coverage of relevant fisheries with historical bycatch. Coupled with the rarity of marine mammal interactions with gillnetters, estimates of incidental bycatch often do not differ significantly across years, resulting

DRAFT

in ambiguous bycatch trends. Since increased observer coverage in the NESG or MAG fishery is unlikely, other estimators or stratification schemes could be explored to improve the precision of marine mammal bycatch estimates for future years.

DRAFT

REFERENCES CITED

Belden DL, Orphanides CD, Rossman MC, Palka DL. 2006. Estimates of Cetacean and Seal Bycatch in the 2004 Northeast Sink Gillnet and Mid-Atlantic Coastal Gillnet Fisheries. US Dep Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc 06-13; 24 p. Available at: http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/publications/

Bisack KD. 2003. Estimates of marine mammal bycatch in the Northeast (New England) multispecies sink gillnet fishery in 1996. US Dep Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc 03-18; 18 p. Available at: http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/publications/

Canty A, Ripley B. 2012. Boot: Bootstrap R (S-Plus) Functions. R package version 1.3-7.

Efron B, Tibshirani RJ. 1993. An introduction to the bootstrap. New York [NY]. Chapman and Hall. 436 p.

Murray KT. 2009. Characteristics and magnitude of sea turtle bycatch in U.S. Mid-Atlantic gillnet gear. Endang Species Res. 8: 211–224.

NMFS. 2014. List of Fisheries for 2015. Federal Register, Vol. 79, No. 248, Monday, December 29, 2014. p. 77919-77942. Available on-line at: http://www.gpoaccess.gov/fr/retrieve.html

Orphanides CD. 2011. Estimates of Cetacean and Pinniped Bycatch in the 2009 New England Sink Gillnet and Mid-Atlantic Gillnet Fisheries. US Dept Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc 11-08; 28 p. Available at: http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/publications/

Orphanides CD. 2013. Estimates of cetacean and pinniped bycatch during 2010 and 2011 in the New England sink gillnet fishery, mid-Atlantic gillnet fishery, and two NMFS gillnet experiments, 2nd edition. US Dept Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc 13-13; 37 p. Available at: http://nefsc.noaa.gov/publications/

Palka DL, Rossman MC, VanAtten AS, Orphanides CD. 2008. Effect of pingers on harbour porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) bycatch in the US Northeast gillnet fishery. J Cetacean Res Manage. 10(3): 217-226.

Palmer MC. 2010. A standard method to apportion groundfish catch to stock area for the purpose of real time quota monitoring under Amendment 16. US Dept Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc 10-02; 32 p. Available at: http://nefsc.noaa.gov/publications/

Read AJ. 2008. The looming crisis: interactions between marine mammals and fisheries. J Mammal. 89(3): 541–548.

Read AJ, Drinker P, Northridge S. 2006. Bycatch of Marine Mammals in U.S. and Global Fisheries. Conserv Biol. 20(1): 163-169.

DRAFT

Reeves RR, McClellan K, Werner TB. 2013. Marine mammal bycatch in gillnet and other entangling net fisheries, 1990 to 2011. Endang Species Res. 20:71-97.

Rossman MC, Merrick RL. 1999. Harbor porpoise bycatch in the Northeast multi-species sink gillnet fishery and the mid-Atlantic coastal gillnet fishery in 1998 and during January - May 1999. US Dep Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc 99-17; 36 p. Available at: http://nefsc.noaa.gov/publications

Wade PR. 1998. Calculating limits to the allowable human-caused mortality of cetaceans and pinnipeds. Mar Mam Sci. 14, 1–37.

Warden ML, Orphanides CD. 2008. Preparation of the Northeast Fisheries Observer Program gillnet data for use in bycatch analyses of protected species. US Dept Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc 08-17; 44 p. Available at: http://nefsc.noaa.gov/publications/

Waring GT, Josephson E, Maze-Foley K, Rosel, PE, editors. 2015. US Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico Marine Mammal Stock Assessments -- 2014. NOAA Tech Memo NMFS NE 231; 361 p. Available at: http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/nefsc/publications/

Wigley SE, Hersey P, Palmer JE. 2008. A description of the allocation procedure applied to the 1994 to 2007 commercial landings data. US Dept Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc. 08-18; 61 p. Available at: http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/publications/

Williams R, Hall A, Winship A. 2008. Potential limits to anthropogenic mortality of small cetaceans in coastal waters of British Columbia. Can J Fish Aquat Sci. 65: 1867-1878.

DRAFT

Table 1. Summaries of observed hauls, observed trips, observed landings, prorated commercial landings, and observer coverage by season and portgroup (P)/management area (MA) for the 2014 New England sink gillnet fishery. Seasons were defined as "W" (winter; January - May), "S" (summer; June - August), and "F" (fall; September - December).

Season	Portgroup (P)/ Management Area (MA)	Observed Hauls[a]	Observed Trips	Observed Landings (mt)	Commercial Landings (mt)	Observer Coverage
W	Cape Cod South (MA)	149 (42)	31	73.57	877.36	0.08
W	Cashes Ledge (MA)	2 (0)	1	0.11	N/A	N/A
W	East of Cape Cod (P)	4 (0)	3	1.28	5.19	0.25
W	Massachusetts Bay (MA)	55 (1)	20	5.39	19.86	0.27
W	Mid-Coast (MA)	207 (0)	49	60.19	150.39	0.40
W	North of Boston (P)	48 (0)	6	10.29	41.12	0.25
W	Offshore (MA)	277 (14)	25	69.23	170.43	0.41
W	Offshore (P)	45 (0)	7	8.38	32.14	0.26
W	South of Boston (P)	6 (6)	1	0.14	2.78	0.05
W	South of Cape Cod (P)	27 (17)	10	3.63	163.78	0.02
W	Southern Maine (P)	66 (8)	15	6.44	61.67	0.10
W	Southern New England (MA)	335 (93)	77	248.93	1736.79	0.14
W	Stellwagen Bank (MA)	210 (22)	68	26.89	96.51	0.28
W	Subtotal	1431 (203)	313	514.47	3358.02	0.15
S	East of Cape Cod (P)	564 (0)	195	595.66	2662.13	0.22
S	Great South Channel (MA)	0 (0)	0	0.00	2.60	0.00
S	New Hampshire (P)	353 (0)	103	132.47	823.13	0.16
S	North of Boston (P)	340 (23)	75	87.91	519.47	0.17
S	Offshore (P)	299 (0)	19	82.25	264.60	0.31
S	South of Boston (P)	126 (9)	32	29.77	149.11	0.20
S	South of Cape Cod (P)	327 (122)	61	136.03	1784.41	0.08
S	Southern Maine (P)	472 (0)	94	149.38	414.87	0.36
S	Subtotal	2481 (154)	579	1213.47	6620.32	0.18
F	Cape Cod South (MA)	65 (57)	15	32.66	436.84	0.07
F	East of Cape Cod (P)	467 (6)	158	559.69	2136.82	0.26
F	Mid-Coast (MA)	915 (33)	229	249.16	796.43	0.31
F	New Hampshire (P)	83 (5)	18	21.78	92.22	0.24
F	North of Boston (P)	181 (12)	49	46.15	152.21	0.30
F	Offshore (MA)	98 (0)	8	34.44	158.09	0.22
F	Offshore (P)	162 (0)	13	63.71	258.20	0.25
F	South of Boston (P)	22 (4)	6	5.90	65.24	0.09
F	South of Cape Cod (P)	177 (26)	39	82.47	1520.56	0.05
F	Southern Maine (P)	93 (11)	24	22.18	128.50	0.17
F	Southern New England (MA)	55 (31)	12	17.35	206.00	0.08
F	Stellwagen Bank (MA)	53 (0)	16	13.90	40.86	0.34
F	Subtotal	2371 (185)	587	1149.39	5991.97	0.19
	Total	6283 (542)	1479	2877.33	15970.31	0.18

[a] Parentheses indicate the number of limited hauls out of the total (i.e., complete + limited).

DRAFT

Table 2. Observed number of bycatch, estimated bycatch rates, estimated bycatch, coefficient of variation (CV), and lower (L) and upper (U) limits on 95% confidence intervals (CI) of harbor porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena phocoena*) bycatch in the New England sink gillnet fishery for 2014, by season and portgroup (P)/management area (MA). Seasons were defined as "W" (winter; January - May), "S" (summer; June - August), and "F" (fall; September - December).

Season	Portgroup (P)/ Management Area (MA)	Observed Bycatch	Bycatch Rate	Estimated Bycatch	CV	95% CI	
						L	U
W	Mid-Coast (MA)	11	0.183	27.52	0.45	11	80
W	Cape Cod South (MA)	3	0.037	32.46	0.74	3	121
W	Southern New England (MA)	3	0.014	24.32	0.72	3	99
W	Subtotal	17	-	84.30	0.38	35	182
F	Mid-Coast (MA)	10	0.040	31.86	0.25	16	56
F	South of Cape Cod (P)	1	0.008	12.16	1.11	1	65
F	Subtotal	11	-	44.02	0.34	22	95
	Total	28	-	128.32	0.27	69	225

Table 3. Observed number of bycatch, estimated bycatch rates, estimated bycatch, coefficient of variation (CV), and lower (L) and upper (U) limits on 95% confidence intervals (CI) of short-beaked common dolphin (*Delphinus delphis delphis*) bycatch in the New England sink gillnet fishery for 2014, by season and portgroup (P)/management area (MA). Seasons were defined as "W" (winter; January - May), "S" (summer; June - August), and "F" (fall; September - December).

Season	Portgroup (P)/ Management Area (MA)	Observed Bycatch	Bycatch Rate	Estimated Bycatch	CV	95% CI	
						L	U
W	Cape Cod South (MA)	1	0.012	10.53	1.01	1	48
W	Southern New England (MA)	1	0.004	6.95	0.80	1	24
W	Subtotal	2	-	17.48	0.65	2	62
S	South of Cape Cod (P)	8	0.050	89.22	0.62	20	275
S	Subtotal	8	-	89.22	0.56	20	275
F	East of Cape Cod (P)	1	0.002	4.27	0.78	1	13
F	Subtotal	1	-	4.27	0.81	1	13
	Total	11	-	110.97	0.47	36	297

DRAFT

Table 4. Observed number of bycatch, estimated bycatch rates, estimated bycatch, coefficient of variation (CV), and lower (L) and upper (U) limits on 95% confidence intervals (CI) of Atlantic white-sided dolphin (*Lagenorhynchus acutus*) bycatch in the New England sink gillnet fishery for 2014, by season and portgroup (P)/management area (MA). Seasons were defined as "W" (winter; January - May), "S" (summer; June - August), and "F" (fall; September - December).

Season	Portgroup (P)/ Management Area (MA)	Observed Bycatch	Bycatch Rate	Estimated Bycatch	CV	95% CI L	95% CI U
W	Southern New England (MA)	1	0.004	6.95	0.89	1	28
W	Subtotal	1	-	6.95	0.89	1	28
F	Mid-Coast (MA)	1	0.004	3.19	0.82	1	10
F	Subtotal	1	-	3.19	0.89	1	10
	Total	2	-	10.14	0.66	2	36

Table 5. Observed number of bycatch, estimated bycatch rates, estimated bycatch, coefficient of variation (CV), and lower (L) and upper (U) limits on 95% confidence intervals (CI) of gray seal (*Halichoerus grypus grypus*) bycatch in the New England sink gillnet fishery for 2014, by season and portgroup (P)/management area (MA). Seasons were defined as "W" (winter; January - May), "S" (summer; June - August), and "F" (fall; September - December).

Season	Portgroup (P)/ Management Area (MA)	Observed Bycatch	Bycatch Rate	Estimated Bycatch	CV	95% CI L	95% CI U
W	Mid-Coast (MA)	2	0.033	4.96	0.88	2	31
W	Cape Cod South (MA)	4	0.055	48.25	0.55	11	125
W	Southern New England (MA)	63	0.269	467.20	0.24	271	774
W	Stellwagen Bank	7	0.260	25.09	0.44	8	68
W	Subtotal	76	-	545.50	0.21	344	858
S	East of Cape Cod (P)	66	0.111	295.50	0.16	205	413
S	New Hampshire (P)	1	0.007	5.76	0.99	1	21
S	Subtotal	67	-	301.26	0.16	211	423
F	East of Cape Cod (P)	10	0.018	38.46	0.34	15	79
F	Mid-Coast (MA)	4	0.016	12.74	0.41	4	29
F	Offshore (P)	1	0.015	3.87	0.80	1	26
F	Cape Cod South (MA)	1	0.035	15.29	0.93	1	56
F	Subtotal	16	-	70.36	0.28	37	131
	Total	159	-	917.12	0.14	687	1234

DRAFT

Table 6. Observed number of bycatch, estimated bycatch rates, estimated bycatch, coefficient of variation (CV), and lower (L) and upper (U) limits on 95% confidence intervals (CI) of harbor seal (*Phoca vitulina concolor*) bycatch in the New England sink gillnet fishery for 2014, by season and portgroup (P)/management area (MA). Seasons were defined as "W" (winter; January - May), "S" (summer; June - August), and "F" (fall; September - December).

Season	Portgroup (P)/ Management Area (MA)	Observed Bycatch	Bycatch Rate	Estimated Bycatch	CV	95% CI L	95% CI U
W	North of Boston (P)	1	1.517	62.38	2.21	1	428
W	Cape Cod South (MA)	9	0.126	110.55	0.40	41	227
W	Southern New England (MA)	2	0.009	15.63	0.65	2	49
W	Subtotal	12	-	188.56	0.80	54	574
S	East of Cape Cod (P)	1	0.002	5.32	0.74	1	13
S	North of Boston (P)	5	0.053	27.53	0.38	10	58
S	New Hampshire (P)	3	0.022	18.11	0.52	6	48
S	Southern Maine (P)	1	0.007	2.90	0.79	1	13
S	Subtotal	10	-	53.86	0.27	28	96
F	East of Cape Cod (P)	1	0.002	4.27	0.76	1	13
F	Mid-Coast (MA)	18	0.071	56.55	0.26	31	107
F	North of Boston (P)	12	0.323	49.16	0.53	12	169
F	New Hampshire (P)	3	0.139	12.82	0.48	4	34
F	Offshore (P)	1	0.016	4.13	0.81	1	25
F	South of Boston (P)	1	0.226	14.74	1.02	1	51
F	Cape Cod South (MA)	1	0.014	6.12	1.94	1	44
F	Subtotal	37	-	147.79	0.26	84	254
	Total	59	-	390.21	0.39	224	760

Table 7. Observed number of bycatch, estimated bycatch rates, estimated bycatch, coefficient of variation (CV), and lower (L) and upper (U) limits on 95% confidence intervals (CI) of harp seal (*Pagophilus groenlandicus*) bycatch in the New England sink gillnet fishery for 2014, by season and portgroup (P)/management area (MA). Seasons were defined as "W" (winter; January - May), "S" (summer; June - August), and "F" (fall; September - December).

Season	Portgroup (P)/ Management Area (MA)	Observed Bycatch	Bycatch Rate	Estimated Bycatch	CV	95% CI L	95% CI U
W	Mid-Coast (MA)	1	0.017	2.56	0.82	1	15
W	Southern New England (MA)	7	0.029	50.37	0.47	14	123
W	Stellwagen Bank	1	0.037	3.57	0.84	1	18
W	Subtotal	9	-	56.50	0.43	19	131
	Total	9	-	56.50	0.42	19	131

DRAFT

Table 8. Number of observed hauls with (w) and without (w/o) pingers and proportion of hauls with pingers by season and portgroup (P)/management area (MA) for the 2014 New England sink gillnet fishery. Seasons were defined as "W" (winter; January - May), "S" (summer; June - August), and "F" (fall; September - December).

Season	Portgroup (P)/ Management Area (MA)	Hauls w/o Pingers	Hauls w Pingers	Proportion
W	Cape Cod South (MA)	7	142	0.95
W	Cashes Ledge (MA)	0	2	1.00
W	East of Cape Cod (P)	0	4	1.00
W	Massachusetts Bay (MA)	0	55	1.00
W	Mid-Coast (MA)	0	207	1.00
W	North of Boston (P)	45	3	0.06
W	Offshore (MA)	6	271	0.98
W	Offshore (P)	19	26	0.58
W	South of Boston (P)	0	6	1.00
W	South of Cape Cod (P)	14	13	0.48
W	Southern Maine (P)	13	53	0.80
W	Southern New England (MA)	28	307	0.92
W	Stellwagen Bank (MA)	0	210	1.00
W	Subtotal	132	1299	0.91
S	East of Cape Cod (P)	549	15	0.03
S	New Hampshire (P)	340	13	0.04
S	North of Boston (P)	337	3	0.01
S	Offshore (P)	255	44	0.15
S	South of Boston (P)	126	0	0.00
S	South of Cape Cod (P)	249	78	0.24
S	Southern Maine (P)	435	37	0.08
S	Subtotal	2291	190	0.08
F	Cape Cod South (MA)	5	60	0.92
F	East of Cape Cod (P)	457	10	0.02
F	Mid-Coast (MA)	26	889	0.97
F	New Hampshire (P)	61	22	0.27
F	North of Boston (P)	96	85	0.47
F	Offshore (MA)	0	98	1.00
F	Offshore (P)	88	74	0.46
F	South of Boston (P)	17	5	0.23
F	South of Cape Cod (P)	157	20	0.11
F	Southern Maine (P)	68	25	0.27
F	Southern New England (MA)	15	40	0.73
F	Stellwagen Bank (MA)	0	53	1.00
F	Subtotal	990	1381	0.58
	Total	3413	2870	0.46

DRAFT

Table 9. Summaries of observed landings, prorated commercial landings, and observer coverage by the 2010 Harbor Porpoise Take Reduction Plan (HPTRP) management areas for the 2014 mid-Atlantic gillnet fishery. Data were spatially stratified by the 2010 HPTRP management areas and temporally stratified by whether or not the 2010 HPTRP was in effect.

HPTRP In Effect	Management Area	Observed Landings (mt)	Commercial Landings (mt)	Observer Coverage
Yes	Waters off New Jersey	2.16	211.41	0.01
	Mudhole north	3.68	61.38	0.06
	Mudhole south	1.44	45.79	0.03
	Southern Mid-Atlantic	219.85	3403.89	0.06
	Subtotal	227.13	3722.47	0.06
No	Waters off New Jersey	104.15	1893.88	0.05
	Mudhole north	28.46	543.49	0.05
	Mudhole south	18.00	214.12	0.08
	Southern Mid-Atlantic	80.83	2743.77	0.03
	Subtotal	231.44	5395.26	0.04
	Total	458.57	9117.73	0.05

DRAFT

Table 10. Summaries of observed hauls, observed trips, observed landings, prorated commercial landings, and observer coverage by season, region, mesh size, and soak duration for strata with bycatch in the 2014 mid-Atlantic gillnet fishery.

Season	Region	Mesh Size (in)	Soak Duration (hrs)	Observed Hauls[a]	Observed Trips	Observed Landings (mt)	Commercial Landings (mt)	Observer Coverage
May	Waters off NJ	>7	≤72	25 (25)	9 (9)	16.94	378.83	0.04
Dec-Jan	Waters off NJ	>7	≤72	72 (11)	20 (4)	19.49	336.79	0.06
Dec-Mar	Waters off NJ	>7	≤72	76 (15)	21 (5)	20.11	375.42	0.05

[a] Parentheses indicate number of limited hauls out of the total (i.e., complete + limited).

Table 11. Observed number of bycatch, estimated bycatch rates, estimated bycatch, coefficient of variation (CV), and lower (L) and upper (U) limits on 95% confidence intervals of estimated harbor porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena phocoena*), short-beaked common dolphin (*Delphinus delphis delphis*), harbor seal (*Phoca vitulina concolor*), and gray seal (*Halichoerus grypus grypus*) bycatch in the mid-Atlantic gillnet fishery for 2014, by season, region, mesh size, and soak duration.

Species	Season	Region	Mesh Size (in)	Soak Duration (hrs)	Observed Bycatch	Bycatch Rate	Estimated Bycatch	CV	95% CI	
									L	U
Harbor porpoise	May	Waters off NJ	>7	≤72	1	0.059	22.35	1.03	1	121
Short-beaked common dolphin	Dec-Jan	Waters off NJ	>7	≤72	1	0.051	17.18	0.86	1	68
Harbor seal	Dec-Mar	Waters off NJ	>7	≤72	1	0.050	18.77	1.06	1	112
Gray seal	May	Waters off NJ	>7	≤72	1	0.059	22.35	1.09	1	129

DRAFT



Figure 1. Locations of oberved hauls and marine mammal bycatch in the 2014 New England sink (A) and mid-Atlantic (B) gillnet fisheries.