

Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), we need not conduct at this point an examination of impacts on small business. However, we welcome any comments regarding small entity considerations embodied in that Act.

Environmental and Energy Considerations

Issuing this notice will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or the conservation of energy resources because the notice merely seeks information and is not proposing any change in current rules or policy. We preliminarily conclude that, even if we subsequently decide to grant the relief sought by petitioners, an environmental assessment would not be necessary under our regulations because the proposed action would not result in changes in carrier operations that exceed the thresholds established in our regulations. See 49 CFR 1105.6(c)(2). We invite comments on the environmental and energy impacts of the proposal.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 10321 and 10526, and 5 U.S.C. 553.

Decided: December 16, 1994.

By the Commission, Chairman McDonald, Vice Chairman Morgan, and Commissioners Simmons and Owen.

Vernon A. Williams,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-317 Filed 1-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Reopening of Comment Period and Public Hearings on Proposed Rule to List the Arkansas River Basin Population of the Arkansas River Shiner as Endangered

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; notice of public hearings and reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) gives notice that three public hearings will be held on its proposal to list the Arkansas River Basin population of the Arkansas River shiner (*Notropis girardi*) as an endangered species. The Service proposed endangered status pursuant to the Endangered Species Act (Act) of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), for the Arkansas River Basin population of the Arkansas River

shiner on August 3, 1994 (59 FR 39532). These hearings will allow additional comments on this proposal to be submitted from all interested parties.

DATES: The comment period on the proposal is reopened from January 6 through February 3, 1995. The public hearings will be held from 7 to 9:30 p.m. on January 23, 1995, in Meade, Kansas; from 7 to 9:30 p.m. on January 24, 1995, in Woodward, Oklahoma; and from 7 to 9:30 p.m. on January 25, 1995, in Amarillo, Texas.

ADDRESSES: The January 23rd hearing will be held in the auditorium of Meade High School, 407 School Addition, Meade, Kansas; the January 24th hearing will be held in the seminar room of the High Plains Institute of Technology, 3921 34th Street, Woodward, Oklahoma; and the January 25th hearing will be held in the auditorium of the Texas A&M Regional Research and Extension Center, 6500 Amarillo Boulevard West, Amarillo, Texas. Written comments and materials should be sent to State Supervisor, Ecological Services State Office, 222 South Houston, Suite A, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74127. Comments and materials received will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the above office address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ken Collins at the above office address (918/581-7458).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Section 4(b)(5)(E) of the Act requires that a public hearing be held on the proposal to list the Arkansas River Basin population of the Arkansas River shiner as an endangered species, if requested within 45 days of the proposal's publication in the **Federal Register**. Public hearing requests were received during the allotted time period from parties in Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas.

Anyone expecting to make an oral presentation at these hearings is encouraged to provide a written copy of their statement to the hearing officer prior to the start of the hearing. In the event there is a large attendance, the time allotted for oral statements may have to be limited. Oral and written statements receive equal consideration. There are no limits to the length of written comments presented at these hearings or mailed to the Service.

In order to accommodate the presently scheduled public hearings, the Service extends the public comment period. Written comments may be submitted from January 6, 1995 through February 3, 1995, to the State Supervisor (see **ADDRESSES** above).

Author

The primary author of this document is Ken Collins (see **ADDRESSES** above).

Authority

The authority for this action is the Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*)

Dated: December 23, 1994.

John G. Rogers,

Regional Director, Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 95-305 Filed 1-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 122294A]

50 CFR Part 227

Listing Endangered and Threatened Species; Shortnose Sturgeon in the Kennebec River System (Maine)

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of petition finding, initiation of status review; request for comments.

SUMMARY: NMFS finds that a petition to remove the shortnose sturgeon (*Acipenser brevirostrum*) population in the Kennebec River system (Androscoggin, Kennebec and Sheepscot Rivers) from the Endangered Species List presents substantial information indicating that the requested action may be warranted. Therefore, NMFS is initiating a status review on the stock to determine if delisting is warranted. To ensure that this status review is complete, NMFS is soliciting information and data regarding the petitioned stock of shortnose sturgeon.

DATES: Comments and information must be received by March 7, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the petition are available from, and information should be submitted to, Chief, Habitat and Protected Resources Division, NMFS, One Blackburn Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930-2298.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Haley, NMFS, Northeast Region (413-253-8616); Douglas W. Beach, NMFS, Northeast Region (508-281-9254); or Margaret Lorenz, NMFS, Office of Protected Resources (301-713-1401).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Section 4 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) allows interested persons to petition the Secretary of Commerce or the Secretary of Interior to add, remove or reclassify a species on the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and to designate critical habitat. To the maximum extent practicable, the Secretary must make a finding within 90 days after receiving the petition on whether it presents substantial scientific or commercial information to indicate that the petitioned action may be warranted. A final decision on the petitioned action must be made within one year of receipt of the petition.

Petition Received

On September 19, 1994, NMFS received a petition from the Edwards Manufacturing Company, Inc. to delist the shortnose sturgeon population in the Kennebec River system in Kennebec, Sagadahoc and Lincoln Counties, Maine. In support of their requested action, the petitioners cite research conducted on the Kennebec River system population over the last two decades and an initial population estimate averaging 10,000 adult shortnose sturgeon. Additionally, density data (shortnose sturgeon per hectare) reported from six river populations, including the Kennebec River system, is used to infer that the Kennebec River system is supporting a shortnose sturgeon population near carrying capacity.

Further, the petitioners reference a NMFS 1987 Status Review that states that the Kennebec River shortnose sturgeon population is no longer in danger of extinction and recommends that the population be removed from the list of threatened and endangered species under the ESA. This recommendation was based on the best information available at that time, and considered all of the listing/delisting factors specified in the ESA.

Using the best available information, NMFS will assess the status of the shortnose sturgeon throughout its range, including the Kennebec River system. In making a final determination on whether the petitioned action is warranted, NMFS will consult the shortnose sturgeon recovery team and other sturgeon biology and population dynamics experts and will verify the findings and recommendations contained in this petition and the NMFS 1987 status review.

Information Solicited

To ensure that the review is complete and based on the best available scientific and commercial data, NMFS is soliciting from any interested person information concerning the status of shortnose sturgeon in the Kennebec River system. Data, information and comments should include (1) supporting documentation such as sighting dates and locations (preferably accompanied by maps) and (2) the commentors name, address, and association, institution, or business.

Dated: December 29, 1994.

Ann D. Terbush,

Acting Director, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 95-275 Filed 1-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-F

50 CFR Part 678

[Docket No. 941261-4361; I.D. 121494A]

RIN 0648-AF63

Atlantic Shark Fisheries; Quotas

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Proposed rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: NMFS issues this proposed rule which would establish the semiannual commercial quotas for Atlantic large coastal sharks, and Atlantic pelagic sharks, under the framework provisions of the Fishery Management Plan for Sharks of the Atlantic Ocean (FMP), at 1994 levels. These quotas would apply to permitted vessels for 1995 and, unless adjusted, for future years. This proposed rule is intended to prevent overfishing of shark stocks.

DATES: Written comments on this proposed rule are invited and must be received on or before January 30, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the proposed rule should be sent to Richard B. Stone, Chief, Highly Migratory Species Management Division, Office of Fisheries Conservation and Management (F/CM), National Marine Fisheries Service, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910. Clearly indicate "Atlantic Shark Comments" on the envelope. Comments may also be sent by FAX to 301-713-0596. Requests for copies of an environmental assessment and regulatory impact review (EA/RIR) should be sent to Richard B. Stone or C. Michael Bailey at the same address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: C. Michael Bailey, 301-713-2347, FAX 301-713-0596; Michael E. Justen, 813-570-5305 or Kevin B. Foster, 508-281-9260.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The fishery for Atlantic sharks is managed under the FMP prepared by NMFS under authority of Section 304(f)(3) of the Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson Act), and implemented in April 1993 through regulations found at 50 CFR part 678.

In October and November 1994, NMFS held a series of public scoping meetings to receive comments from fishery participants and other members of the public regarding issues of concern in the Atlantic shark fishery. In addition, NMFS solicited written comments as part of the scoping process, including consideration of adjustments to the quota for Atlantic large coastal and pelagic sharks.

The 1994 Atlantic Large Coastal Shark Fishery

The January-through-June semiannual quota was projected to be reached on May 17 and the fishery was closed; however, the quota was actually underharvested by 33 mt. The quota for July through December was adjusted for the underharvest for a total of 1318 mt. The commercial large coastal shark fishery was closed August 10 based on projected landings, but the quota was underharvested by 657 mt due to bad weather and other factors in the two weeks prior to August 10. The fishery reopened on September 1 to allow the underharvest to be taken and was closed on November 5, 1994.

The 1994 Evaluation of TAC

During preparation of the FMP, NMFS determined that stocks of Atlantic large coastal sharks were below the level required to produce the maximum sustainable yield (MSY). Accordingly, NMFS included a Recovery Plan in the FMP designed to rebuild the resource to the MSY level, with annual total allowable catch (TAC) increasing as the rebuilding program progressed. However, because MSY, stock levels needed to produce MSY, and resource productivity were only uncertain estimates, the FMP calls for an annual evaluation of relevant fishery information including current stock status, current landings, maximum sustainable yield, and information on which to base TAC.

As required by the FMP, an annual shark evaluation workshop (SEW) was held in March 1994 to assess the status of the stocks. The SEW concluded that available information neither supported