1.1 APPENDIX II – ECONOMIC MODEL

1.1.1 ESTIMATION OF PRICES, COSTS, PROFITS AND NATIONAL BENEFITS

The economic model includes an ex-vessel price equation, a cost function and a set of equations describing the consumer and producer surpluses. The ex-vessel price equation is used in the simulation of the ex-vessel prices, revenues, and consumer surplus along with the landings and average meat count from biological projections. The cost function is used for projecting harvest costs and thereby for estimating the producer benefits as measured by the producer surplus. The set of equations also includes the definition of the consumer surplus, producer surplus, profits to vessels, and total economic benefits.

1.1.2 Estimation of annual ex-vessel prices

Fish prices constitute one of the important channels through which fishery management actions affect fishing revenues, vessel profits, consumer surplus, and net economic benefits for the nation. The degree of change in ex-vessel price in response to a change in variables affected by management, i.e., scallop landings and meat count, is estimated by a price model, which also takes into account other important determinants of price, such as disposable income of consumers and price of imports.

Given that there could be many variables that could affect the price of scallops, it is important to identify the objectives in price model selection for the purposes of cost-benefit analyses. These objectives (in addition to developing a price model with sound statistical properties) are as follows:

- To develop a price model that uses inputs of the biological model and available data. Since the biological model projects annual (rather than monthly) landings, the corresponding price model should be estimated in terms of annual values.
- To select a price model that will predict prices within a reasonable range without depending on too many assumptions about the exogenous variables. For example, the import price of scallops from Japan could impact domestic prices differently than the price of Chinese imports, but making this separation in a price model would require prediction about the future import prices from these countries. This in turn would complicate the model and increase the uncertainty regarding the future estimates of domestic scallop prices.

In addition to the changes in size composition and landings of scallops, other determinants of ex-vessel price include level of imports, import price of scallops, disposable income of seafood consumers, and the demand for U.S. scallops by other countries. The main substitutes of sea scallops are the imports from Canada, which are almost identical to the domestic product, and imports from other countries, which are generally smaller in size and less expensive than the domestic scallops. An exception is the Japanese imports, which have a price close to the Canadian imports and could be a close substitute for the domestic scallops as well.

The ex-vessel price model estimated below includes the price, rather than the quantity of imports as an explanatory variable, based on the assumption that the prices of imports are, in general,

determined exogenously to the changes in domestic supply. This is equivalent to assuming that the U.S. market conditions have little impact on the import prices. An alternative model would estimate the price of imports according to world supply and demand for scallops, separating the impacts of Canadian and Japanese imports from other imports since U.S. and Canadian markets for scallops, being in proximity, are highly connected and Japanese scallops tend to be larger and closer in quality to the domestic scallops. The usefulness of such a simultaneous equation model is limited for our present purposes, however, since it would be almost impossible to predict how the landings, market demand, and other factors such as fishing costs or regulations in Canada or Japan and in other exporting countries to the U.S. would change in future years.

Since the average import price is equivalent to a weighted average of import prices from all countries weighted by their respective quantities, the import price variable takes into account the change in composition of imports from Canadian scallops to less expensive smaller scallops imported from other countries. This specification also prevents the problem of multi-colinearity among the explanatory variables, i.e., prices of imports from individual countries and domestic landings. In terms of prediction of future ex-vessel prices, this model only requires assignment of a value for the average price of imports, without assuming anything about the composition of imports, or the prices and the level of imports from individual countries. The economic impact analyses of the fishery management actions usually evaluate the impact on ex-vessel prices by holding the average price of imports constant. The sensitivity of the results affected by declining or increasing import prices could also be examined, however, using the price model presented in this section.

The price model presented below estimates annual average scallop ex-vessel price by market category (PEXMRKT) as a function of

- Meat count (MCOUNT)
- Average price of all scallop imports (PIMPORT)
- Per capita personal disposable income (PCDPI)
- Total annual landings of scallop minus exports (SCLAND-SCEXP)
- Percent share of landings by market category in total landings (PCTLAND)
- A dummy variable as a proxy for price premium for Under 10 count scallops (DU10).
- Dummy variables for 2005 and 2010 to take into account the problems with the Japanese aquaculture in those years that reduced the supply of large scallops from this country and increased the demand for US sea scallops.
- A dummy variable for 2010 as a proxy

Because the data on scallop landings and revenue by meat count categories were mainly collected since 1998 through the dealers' database, this analysis included the 1999-2011 period. All the price variables were corrected for inflation and expressed in 2011 prices by deflating current levels by the consumer price index (CPI). The ex-vessel prices are estimated in semi-log form to restrict the estimated price to positive values only as follows:

Log (PEXMRKT) = f(MCOUNT, PIMPORT, PCDPI, SCLAND-SCEXP, PCTLAND, DU10, D2005, D2010)

The coefficients of this model are shown in Table 1. Adjusted R2 indicates that changes in meat count, composition of landings by size of scallops, domestic landings net of exports, average price of all imports, disposable income, and price premium on under 10 count scallops and 2005 and 2010 dummy variables explain about 75 percent of the variation in ex-vessel prices by market category.

Table 1. Regression results for price model

Regression Statistics	
R Square	0.7697
Adjusted R Square	0.7467
Observations	89

Table 2. Coefficients of the Price Model

Variables	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat
INTERCEPT	0.7043	0.41678	1.69
MCOUNT	-0.00441	0.00118	-3.74
PIMPORT	0.13216	0.04359	3.03
PCDPI	0.02547	0.00773	3.3
SCLAND-SCEXP	-0.00131	0.00458	-0.29
DU10	0.07795	0.04863	1.6
PCTLAND	-0.17497	0.09234	-1.89
d05	0.21204	0.05374	3.95
d10	0.16506	0.05156	3.2

These numerical results should be interpreted with caution, however, since the analysis covers only 10 years of annual data from a period during which the scallop fishery underwent major changes in management policy including area closures, controlled access, and rotational area management.

1.1.3 Estimation of trip costs

1.1.4 Trip Costs

Data for variable costs, i.e., trip expenses include food, fuel, oil, ice, water and supplies. The trip costs per day-at-sea (ffiwospda) is postulated to be a function of vessel crew size (CREW), vessel size in gross tons (GRT), fuel prices (FUELP), and dummy variables for trawl (TRW) and small dredge (DFT) vessels. This cost equation was assumed to take a double-

logarithm form and estimated with data obtained from observer database. The empirical equation presented in Table 3 estimated more than 52% of the variation in trip costs and has proper statistical properties using the observer data from 1991 to 2011 for the limited access vessels. Table 4 shows the estimated trip cost equation for the general category vessels.

Table 3. Estimation of total trip costs per DAS used for the limited access vessels

Number of Observations Used 737

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
Model	7	65.64625	9.37804	115.43	<.0001
Error	729	59.22687	0.08124		
Corrected Total	736	124.87312			

Root MSE	0.28503	R-Square	0.5257
Dependent Mean	7.38478	Adj R-Sq	0.5211
Coeff Var	3.85974		

Parameter Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	Pr > |t|
Intercept	1	3.52415	0.46519	7.58	<.0001
lngrt	1	0.17117	0.05258	3.26	0.0012
lncrew	1	0.33820	0.11947	2.83	0.0048
lnfuelpr	1	0.87065	0.03487	24.97	<.0001
DFT	1	-0.27185	0.04461	-6.09	<.0001
lnlpue	1	-0.08526	0.02310	-3.69	0.0002
TRW	1	-0.08347	0.07383	-1.13	0.2586
lnlen	1	0.50159	0.12508	4.01	<.0001

Table 4. Estimation of total trip costs per DAS used for the limited access vessels

Number of Observations Used 354

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
Model	5	87.10877	17.42175	189.37	<.0001
Error	348	32.01539	0.09200		
Corrected Total	353	119.12416			

Root MSE	0.30331	R-Square	0.7312
Dependent Mean	7.16597	Adj R-Sq	0.7274
Coeff Var	4.23267		

Parameter Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	Pr > |t|
Intercept	1	1.70875	0.50105	3.41	0.0007
lngrt	1	0.15862	0.04007	3.96	<.0001

lnlen	1	0.64666	0.14805	4.37	<.0001
lncrew	1	0.47231	0.07295	6.47	<.0001
lnfuelpr	1	0.63481	0.06969	9.11	<.0001
lnlpue	1	0.07744	0.03042	2.55	0.0113

1.1.5 Estimation of fixed costs

The fixed costs include those expenses that are not usually related to the level of fishing activity or output. These are insurance, maintenance, license, repairs, office expenses, professional fees, dues, taxes, utility, interest, communication costs, association fees and dock expenses. According to the observer data on fixed costs for the period 2001 to 2007, the fixed costs including maintenance, repairs, engine and gear replacement and hull and liability insurance averaged $162,000 per full-time vessel (Table 5). Table 6 shows that fixed costs of the vessels varies by the ton class and larger vessels have higher fixed costs than the smaller boats. Fixed costs for years after 2007 will be updated after NMFS completes 2012 Cost Survey.

Table 5. Annual fixed costs for full-time limited access scallop vessels by year (in 2006 inflation-adjusted prices and includes only those observations for insurance cost was available)

Data	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2001-2007
Number of vessels	7	20	36	50	40	24	39	216
Maintenance ($)	96,659	52,308	79,108	49,953	69,048	91,045	38,717	63,452
Repairs and replacement ($)	86,912	65,400	81,452	73,349	44,287	38,714	33,414	58,283
Insurance ($)	40,980	35,127	60,501	57,117	61,933	65,896	62,129	57,941
Total fixed costs ($)	224,552	141,719	206,304	155,711	159,542	171,252	122,631	161,819
GRT	148	156	157	156	156	144	150	153
HP	876	799	832	825	813	792	840	822

Table 6. Annual fixed costs of full-time limited access scallop vessels by ton class (2006 inflation adjusted prices, including only those observations for which insurance data were available)

Data	51-100 GRT	101-150 GRT	>150	Average (2001-07)
Number of vessels	18	75	123	216
GRT	75	129	180	153
HP	461	690	957	822
Maintenance ($)	32,657	60,145	70,585	63,452
Repairs ($)	26,152	47,860	70,255	58,283
Insurance ($)	46,784	48,615	65,295	57,941
Total fixed cost ($)	100,780	142,482	182,652	161,819
Ratio of fixed costs to the average for the fleet	0.62	0.88	1.13	1.0

The 2006 and 2007 fixed cost survey data included other cost items such as office, accounting, and interest payments in addition to the repairs, maintenance and insurance.

The model shown in Table 7 is based on the fixed cost survey data and estimates fixed costs as a function of length, year built, horse power and a dummy variable for boats that have multispecies permit. The data included 196 observations and the fixed costs are estimated by using the 97 observations for vessels with dredge and trawl gear. Because the data on communications costs and association fees were missing for most observations, these costs were not included in the estimation but their average values for the scallop vessels were deducted from the gross stock when estimating net boat and crew shares (Table 8).

Table 7. Estimation of basic fixed costs

GMM with HCCME=1 235

The MODEL Procedure

Nonlinear GMM Summary of Residual Errors

Equation	DF Model	DF Error	SSE	MSE	Root MSE	R-Square	Adj R-Sq	Durbin Watson
lnfcbasic	5	92	15.8206	0.1720	0.4147	0.7283	0.7165	2.2736

Nonlinear GMM Parameter Estimates

Parameter	Estimate	Approx Std Err	t Value	Approx Pr > |t|
intc	-242.988	65.7063	-3.70	0.0004
lenco	1.588635	0.1986	8.00	<.0001
bltco	32.51993	8.6562	3.76	0.0003
d10co	-0.51566	0.1039	-4.96	<.0001
hpco	0.168211	0.1174	1.43	0.1554

Number of Observations		Statistics for System	
Used	97	Objective	2.3E-18

Table 8. Average association fee and communication costs by vessel size

	Average annual association fee	Average annual Communication Costs
All Vessels	1610	3446
Large (>=80 feet)	1895	3939
Medium (<80 feet)	1459	3185

Using the survey cost data, total fixed costs are estimated to be $176,516 per full-time vessel in 2006 constant dollars and $188,343 in 2008 dollars (Table 9). These estimates exclude vessel improvement costs (other than repairs and maintenance) which could be considered as discretionary investment and could be postponed when there is a temporary shortfall in cash earnings. Using this survey data information for the estimated value for fixed costs for 2011, i.e., $191,167 and assuming a vessel share for 48% of gross revenue, it could be estimated that in order to cover the fixed costs in full, a vessel has to earn a gross revenue of $398,264 (break-even revenue) any amount above that would egnerate profits. If instead average fixed costs were equal to the averages values ($161,819, Table 5), estimated from the observer data for 2001-2007, then adjusting this value for 2011 would result in a total fixed cost of $180,424 and a break-even revenue of $376,313.

Table 9. Estimated fixed costs per full-time vessel

Data	2007	In 2011 Inflation adjusted prices
Estimated basic fixed costs	$176,516	$191,167
Improvement Costs (Difference)	$50,023	$54,175

1.1.6 Profits and crew incomes

As it is well known, the net income and profits could be calculated in various ways depending on the accounting conventions applied to gross receipts and costs. The gross profit estimates used in the economic analyses in the FSEIS simply show the difference of gross revenue over variable (including the crew shares) and fixed expenses rather than corresponding to a specific accounting procedure. It is in some ways similar to the net income estimated from cash-flow statements since depreciation charges are not subtracted from income because they are not out-of-pocket expenses.

Gross profits per vessel are estimated as the boat share (after paying crew shares) minus the fixed expenses such as maintenance, repairs and insurance (hull and liability). Based on the input from the scallop industry members and Dan Georgianna on the lay system, the profits and crew incomes are estimated as follows:

- The association fees, communication costs and a captain bonus of 5% are deducted from the gross stock to obtain the net stock.
- Boat share is assumed to be 48% and the crew share is assumed to be 52% of the net stocks.
- Profits are estimated by deducting fixed costs from the boat share.
- Net crew income is estimated by deducting the trip costs from the crew shares.

1.1.7 Consumer surplus

Consumer surplus measures the area below the demand curve and above the equilibrium price. For simplicity, consumer surplus is estimated here by approximating the demand curve between the intercept and the estimated price with a linear line as follows:

CS= (PINT*SCLAN-EXPR*SCLAN)/2

$$PVCS = \sum_{t=2000}^{t=2008} (CS_t /(1+r)^t)$$

Where: r=Discount rate.

CS_t= Consumer surplus at year "t" in 1996 dollars.

PVCS= Present value of the consumer surplus in 1996 dollars.

EXPR= Ex-vessel price corresponding to landings for each policy option.
PINT=Price intercept i.e., estimated price when domestic landings are zero.
SCLAN= Sea scallop landings for each policy option.

Although this method may overestimate consumer surplus slightly, it does not affect the ranking of alternatives in terms of highest consumer benefits or net economic benefits.

1.1.8 Producer surplus

The producer surplus (PS) is defined as the area above the supply curve and the below the price line of the corresponding firm and industry (Just, Hueth & Schmitz (JHS)-1982). The supply curve in the short-run coincides with the short-run MC above the minimum average variable cost (for a competitive industry). This area between price and the supply curve can then be approximated by various methods depending on the shapes of the MC and AVC cost curves. The economic analysis presented in this section used the most straightforward approximation and estimated PS as the excess of total revenue (TR) over the total variable costs (TVC). It was assumed that the number of vessels and the fixed inputs would stay constant over the time period of analysis. In other words, the fixed costs were not deducted from the producer surplus since the producer surplus is equal to profits plus the rent to the fixed inputs. Here fixed costs include various costs associated with a vessel such as depreciation, interest, insurance, half of the repairs (other half was included in the variable costs), office expenses and so on. It is assumed that these costs will not change from one scenario to another.

PS=EXPR*SCLAN- ΣOPC
ΣOPC = Sum of operating costs for the fleet.

$$PVPS = \sum_{t=2000}^{t=2008} (PS_t / (1+r)^t)$$

Where: r=Discount rate.

PS_t= Producer surplus at year "t" in 1996 dollars.
PVPS= Present value of the producer surplus in 1996 dollars.
SCALN= Sea scallop landings for each policy option.
EXPR= Price of scallops at the ex-vessel level corresponding to landings for each policy option in 1996 dollars.

Producer Surplus also equals to sum of rent to vessels and rent to labor. Therefore, rent to vessels can be estimated as:

RENTVES=PS – CREWSH

Rentves= Quasi rent to vessels
Crewsh= Crew Shares

1.1.9 Total economic benefits

Total economic benefits (TOTBEN) is estimated as a sum of producer and consumer surpluses and its value net of status quo is employed to measure the impact of the management alternatives on the national economy.

TOTBEN=PS+CS

Present value of the total benefits= PVTOTBEN= PVPS+PVCS

1.1.10 REFERENCES

Daniel Georgianna and Debra Shrader (2005); “Employment, Income and Working Conditions in New Bedford’s Offshore Fisheries”. Final Report for Contract No. NA03NMF4270265, Saltonstall-Kennedy Program, NMFS, June 22, 2005.

Daniel Georgianna, A.Caas and P.Amaral (1999); The Cost of Fishing for Sea Scallops in the Northeastern United States. University of Massachusetts Dartmouth, Cooperative Marine Education and Research Program, NMFS, Contract Number NA67FE0420. December 16, 1999.

Steve Edwards. 2005. Accounting for Rents in the U.S. Atlantic Sea Scallop FisheryMarine Resource Economics, Volume 20, pp. 61–76